

App F IX 4

Previous References

1935
(ME)

~~Destroy~~ preserve
H 11 716
- 8/11.
File No 106-35
Serial Nos 1-6

Letter References

7568-35 (1-50)
11 13-36 (12/15)
2-36-27, 31, 34
depo 44-39 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos *See*

Part B for April 1936

SUBJECT

Levy of octroi on certain articles imported
into the Mysore city Municipality

26) The President, city Municipal Council, Mysore
Comptroller to Govt

WD 03/07-GFB-22 500-2835

No L 7957-8 dated 20-4-36

Sanctions the —

Rmr
27/4

Improving the financial condition of Mysore City Municipality

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

L 1049

106 of 1935

[App F III 2

Letter no. G 7. 530 dated 30/8/35 from the President, City Mndl, Mysore, forwarding for information a copy of the proceedings of the Council considering proposals for rehabilitating and improving the financial position of the Mndl.

1 It is seen therefrom that the Mndl have approved of the proposals to enhance the rent of the shops of the Devarai market (1) to enhance the rate of toll on double bullock carts from annas three to annas four (2) & the levy of octroi on certain articles.

2 The President has stated that action under Sec 65 of the Mysore City Municipality Act is being taken before applying for sanction to the taxation proposals.

3 Submitted for information

82/10
10/9/35

4 The final proposals of the Mndl, after consideration of the objections which may be raised will be awaited.

5 The President's reply is awaited for perusal in the meanwhile the proposals are calculated to bring in an additional revenue of Rs 80,000/ against the annual deficit of about Rs 68,000/ (Chief Secy)

9/9

(2)
File 1...

1049 21049
106 of 1935

Ministry
General and Revenue
Secretariat

6) For perusal
The proposed - taxes fall primarily
on people living outside
Municipal area

Desam)

10-9-35
10-9-35
10-9-35
10-9-35

DEWAN OFFICE
10 SEP 1935
1762

Suo B
13/11

Memo dated 17-11-35 from Mr H Subba Rao Mysore -
forwarding a copy of the resolutions passed at
a public meeting of the citizens of Mysore protesting
against the proposed levy of octroi duty on
certain additional commodities in Mysore city

2) A copy of the resolutions has also been sent
to the President, Mysore city Municipality

The final proposals after considering the objections
may be awaited

22/11/35
Chief Secy
26/11
27/11
28/11

11 NOV 1935
Suo B
28/11

Serial
No

31

Memorial from the Residents of Mysore city to the Municipal Councillors of the Municipality praying that the proposal ~~of the Municipal Council~~ for enhancing the house tax and the water rate may not be approved and that they have no objection for the levy of octroi on additional articles in Mysore city

A

This is only a copy of the appeal to the Municipal Councillors. The resolution of the Municipal Council may be awaited. It may be stated that the President has been requested to improve the finances of the Municipality by resort to additional taxation

Rmr
7/12 M/12

A Yes

Similar protests need maybe
placed in the file

Ans
17/12

Sms B
18/12
M

File No

of 193

General and Invent
Secretariat

3

General and Revenue
Secretariat

ML File No 106

of 1935

[App F III-2

Serial
No

under
separate
order

9) It is seen from the ^{copy of the} proceedings of the Council
of 17.12.35 read today that the several proposals ~~for~~
with the objections received thereto were considered by the
Municipal Council on that date & most of the proposals approved
But no report has yet been read from the President
forwarding the final proposals for the orders of Govt

10) Address letter to the President calling for the
summary of the proposals without further delay is dated as directed

CHIEF SECRETARY
3 FEB 1936

chief secy)
11) 2/1
12) Issue 2/1
for 4/1

T102

130

11

Sus A (urgent)
6/2/36
NV

The President City Municipal Council Mysore
No L 5906 dated 5-2-36
Requests him to forward the final taxation
proposals without further delay

of 1998

File No.

3741/L

REPLY

(To be written on this margin)

(U)

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

NOTE

Dated 14th February 1936

Mr. New

13) I shall be glad to know if proposals of the Mysore Municipal Council for enhancing rates of taxes have been received

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
14 FEB 1936
NO. 21170

Chief Secretary,
with compliments

Mr

L S S
15-2-36
15/2

14) Proposals have not been received yet from the President city Municipal Council Mysore, for enhancing the rates of taxes in the Municipality. He has however been reminded in this office letter No L 5906 dated 5-2-36 & asked to send the proposals without any further delay.

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
17 FEB 1936
NO. 2318

Rem
17/2 11/12

17/2

15) II Members)

16) Mr
18/2/36

17) Please look up another reminder to the President on the subject and if the proposals are not ready by then

Mr. New
18/2/36
18/2

18/2/36
20/2

Levy of octroi on certain articles in Mysore city

(5)

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Mr File No 106

3836

L

of 1935

[App F III-2]

Serial
No

Letter No G T 1775 dated 20-2-36 from the President, city Municipal Council, Mysore, forwarding for sanction the resolution of the Municipal Council to levy octroi duty on certain articles imported into the Municipality at 3 pice per crupce ad valorem.

18) The formalities required under Section 65 of the City Municipalities Regulation in regard to the above proposals are reported to have been gone through.

19) Under Section 65 (c) the notice calling for objections and the objections to the proposal etc have to be sent to Govt. The President has not forwarded the above records. These may perhaps be called for.

20) Draft to the President is submitted for approval or orders.

Per Mr. 25/2

21) The provision in Sec 65 (c) is mandatory and it is not clear why the President has not sent the notice & the objections recd. The

Proceedings of the meeting do not make mention of

the several objections recd. & the President's letter does not also refer to them. Copies of some objections have been recd in this Office & for consideration whether on this ground Govt may proceed to consider the proposals without calling for all the connected papers as suggested in the draft.

though they seem to have been considered by the Council

T, P &

20 FEB 1936

NOTE - Contn Sheet

WD 94-GPB-30 000-18-7-35

Chaffey) 25/2
22) 25/2
25/2

Levy of octroi on certain articles in Mysore

⑥

3836/4232

MR File No 106

of 1935

General and
Secretari

The President, City Municipal Council, Mysore

No 2 6514 dated 26-2-36

calls for the notice of objections received there-

4

Sys A
27/2
my

23) It is already more than 12 days since the President was requested to merely forward the connected records and no reply has yet been received

Draft D o reminder submitted

Remr M
10/3

24) Issue
Jan 1936

Immed No 2 6989 dated 12-3-36

5

MY
18/3
(urgent)

Letter No GT 1938 dated 13-3-36 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore forwarding a copy of the notice calling for objections and the objections petitions received in response thereto

The objections have been classified and tabulated by the President (Vide flag V)

Submitted for orders

Remr M
17/3

Please put up a draft GO sanctioning the proposals for cancellation

Jan
1936

Draft G o sanctioning submitted

Remr M
18/3

The Summary of objections sent by the President shows that the main objection is that the proposed levy affects trade especially in these

Levy of octroi on certain articles in Mysore city

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Me File No 106

4232
2

7

of 1935

[App F III-2

Serial
No

Days of economic depression and acts as a burden on the already heavily taxed people. The proceedings of the Council meeting of 17.12.35 show that the summary of objections was read by the President at the meeting and that the said objections were repeated by some of the Councillors, while it was explained by the others that the levy was necessary and justifiable and would not affect the poor as ~~the same~~ it was ~~not~~ on articles of daily necessity. The proceedings also show that votes were taken in respect of each item article, with the result that the enhancement of toll on laden bullock carts from 3 to 4 annas and the levy of octroi on horse gram and electric paper were vetoed and the other items approved.

The draft of sanctioning order which may be added is suggested that the levy may be brought into force from 1.5.36, which seems to be the earliest date from which this can be done giving a month's notice under Sec 67 of the City Districts Regn.

Chief Secy


Am
19/3

Am
20-3-36

Am
20/3

2nd member
(Sewani)

WD 03594-GPB-80 000-13 7 85



Octroi after
1/5/36
for Octroi
on horse gram
and electric paper
vetoed
on 11/1/36
Am
23/3
Am

NOTE—Contg Sheet

Levy of octroi on certain articles in Hyderabad

File No

(8)

4232

of 193


General and Revenue
Secretariat

The levy of octroi on some of the
articles (eg. motors & motor accessories) may
be open to objection. But in view of
the imperative need for improving the revenue
of the municipality, the proposals may be accepted.

Dewan)

Recd

1/4/36



for
7/4
8/4/36
8/4/36

This seems to be Council matter, vide clause (1)
of the Schedule to the Council Rules of Business. The levy
of octroi on the additional articles has perhaps
been regarded as the imposition of a new tax
for the purpose of the said clause.

Chief Secy)

for
8/4

8 APR 1936

C S
circulate


for
8/4

For Disposal by Circulation

No C 254

7 1935 36

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT



Council Matter


Subject

Proposal to levy Octroi on certain articles in Mysore City

Circulated to the Members of Council

By Order

9th April 1936


Chief Secretary to Government

First Member of Council


(Seen)

Second Member of Council

(Seen)

For favour of Final Note

II member)


9-4-36


7952
194


7 N signed by member

1574/36

S H subd

Dewan)


15-4-36


76-4-36

Submitted to His Highness

*I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second
Member of Council regarding levy of octroi duty on
certain articles imported into the Mysore City Municipality*

for His Highness gracious approval

Mirza Aslam

Dewan

16-4-36

Final Note by the Second Member of Council

Subject —

Levy of octroi duty on certain articles imported into the Mysore City Municipality

These papers relate to a proposal to levy octroi duty on certain articles imported into the Mysore City Municipality

The financial condition of the Municipality has been unsatisfactory for several years past. With a view to improving its finances, the Municipal Council has proposed the levy of octroi duty on the marginally noted articles imported into the

(1) Musical goods	(2) Toys,	} Municipality at three pies per rupee ad valorem
(3) Soaps (not manufactured in Mysore State,	(4) Confectionery,	
(6) Oilman store,	(5) Glassware,	
(8) Colour and metal polish,	(7) Electrical goods,	} The First Member of Council
(9) Tiles, (country pot tiles to be exempted,	(12) Tea,	
(10) Cement, (11) Coffee,	(13) Iron building materials, such as (a) Iron and Steel Girders, (b) joists, (c) angle irons, (d) trusses, (e) pipes, and (f) screws and hinges (screws and hinges costing less than 4 annas being exempted)	
(14) Cuddapah slabs,	(15) Hosiery,	
(16) Motor and motor accessories		

(in charge of the duties of the Dewan) and myself are agreeable to the above proposal which is submitted to His Highness for gracious sanction

Bangalore,
15th April 1936

N. M. Shanmugam
MEMBER OF COUNCIL

4232
J

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of
His Highness the Maharaja



Subject

Levy of octroi duty on certain
articles imported into the Mysore City
Municipality

may
me
18-4-36

Order

~~KRUW~~
17/4/36

Am
20 21 36
Issue draft 1093
& afterwards send the
draft to circulation
M
20/4

Levy of octroi in Mysore city Municipality

4232
J

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Me File No 106

of 1935

[App F III-2

Serial
No

6

The President, city Municipal Council, Mysore
" Comptroller to Govt -
go No 2 7957-8 dated 20-4-36

Sanctions the resolution of the Mysore city
Municipal Council for the levy of octroi on
certain articles imported into the city -

Confidential Branch with Compts for kind
circulation

Rmr m
22/4

4232

C 254

SUBJECT - Levy of Octroi on certain
articles imported into the Mysore City
Municipality

()

31 All g t f d t l f l
t d w t h H H g h d m y
t h b f t t d
t t t h t r y b d l y
l t d t t h M m b f C l
f p l f t t h f d

33 Th S t d
h l d t h t d t h
f d t b d t d
t h t t h f l t d m m d t l y
f t t t h C h f S t y f
l t t t h M m b

34 I l l t h t h f l w l
f i t b l t d t t h M m b f
C l t H d q t d t h t
t t h S t t d f t h
f d

Circulat d to Members of Council for perusal of His
Highness orders before/after issue of orders (Vide Rules
31 33 and 34 of the S bs dia y Rules fo the conduct of bus ess of
Counc l in the Ma al of Cou l R l s a d P ocedure)

Bojannaiah
Chief Secretary to Government

Thro gh the S c tary to Gove nment

Departme t

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

Sp2
22/4

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

neu
23/4/86

L S G

Am
22-4-86

it m/g

B m
24/4
25/4

7732
224

2177
25/4

G.T 530
Em 2

Office of the President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore
Dated 29th August 1935
30

From

M A Sreenivasan, Esq ,B A ,

President, City Municipal Council,

Mysore

5 1049/2

ml 106-35-1

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to state that along with the Budget Estimates of the Municipality for 1935-36 placed before the Municipal Council, was also placed a note surveying the several causes that contributed to the chronic deficits in the the finances of the Municipality in the past years and suggesting certain proposals for rehabilitating and improving the financial position The proposals were considered by the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 10th August 1935

One of the proposals was to enhance the rent of the shops of the Devaraja Market and this was adopted by the Council unanimously A proposal to enhance the water flat rate by 25% did not meet with the approval of the Council / ^{another} proposal to enhance the rate of toll on double bullock carts from annas three to annas four was carried nem-con

Proposals to levy octroi on horse gram at one anna per pella and to levy an octroi duty at pies three per rupee ad valorem on the following articles of imports were approved by the Council

- 1 Musical goods ✓
- 2 Toys (Country & imported) ✓
- 3 Soaps (manufactured outside the Mysore State)
- 4 Confectionery ✓
- 5 Glass-ware ✓
- 6 Oilman stores ✓
- 7 Electric goods ✓
- 8 Colour and metal polish
- 9 Tiles ✓
- 10 Cement ✓
- 11 Coffee
- 12 Tea ✓

- 13 Iron and steel girders, joists, Angle-irons,
trusses and pipes
- 14 Cuddapa slabs ✓
- 15 Hosiery articles ✓
- 16 Electric power ✓
- 17 Motor and motor accessories ✓

Action laid down in Section 65 of the Municipalities Regulation is being taken before applying for sanction of Government for the taxation proposals of the Council

It may be added in this connection that if these proposals are finally approved by the Council and Government they would go a great way to reduce the deficits and to improve the finances

A copy of my note placed before the Council and a copy of the Proceedings of the Council are submitted herewith for information

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. S. Srinivasan

President.

S/Sr

PVR

No e for rehabilitating ~~of~~ the financial position of the Municipality

From the last 2 paras of the explanatory memorandum relating to the draft Budget for 1935-36 sent with the draft Budget, it would be seen that according to the revised estimate for 34-35, ~~complied~~ ^{Complied} with reference to actuals known till May last there was expected to be a deficit of about Rs 21,625 at the end of 34-35 and that on 30-6-36, this deficiency would increase to about Rs - 75,937

As the Council is well aware, the Budget position of the Municipality has, for the past several years, been far from satisfactory. The receipts fell short of the Budget anticipation. The following table shows for the past quinquennium the amount by which the receipts fell short of the Budget anticipation each year, the liabilities that were unpaid and carried forward to maintain the cash position and the deficits which would have accrued had the liabilities been discharged:-

Year	Fall in receipts	Cash balance	Liability	Deficit, if liability had been discharged	Arrears of water supply charges due
1929-30	45,500	26,900	60,770	-33,870	31,285
1930-31	48,000	70,180 *	86,600	-16,420	51,658
1931-32	11,500	42,000	94,514	-52,514	70,000
1932-33	58,100	27,400	1,43,467	-1,16,067	1,28,036
1933-34	1,11,600	18,170	2,29,429	-2,11,257	1,63,429

* Out of the loan of 1 lac^h for tarring, only Rs 37,055 were spent for tarring roads and this accounts for the heavy cash balance and the comparatively smaller deficit

These figures make it plain that Budget deficits have been a chronic feature of this Municipality for several years, and that the Municipality has just been able to carry on, only by allowing dues to Government and other liabilities to accumulate from year to year. Payment of loan instalments due on 30-6-1934 and 30-6-35 were withheld and they were then converted into a fresh loan, a practice which is obviously unsatisfactory and has been criticised by the Government.

A glance at the figures of our normal revenue and expenditure noted overleaf, shows that our annual deficit is in the neighbourhood of about Rs 68,000 and this without making adequate provision

for important and urgent measures such as slum clearance, anti-plague campaign, improvement of drainage and water supply, metering of water connections to check wastage and make the incidence of water charges more equitable etc. There is imperative need for taking action at once, not only to balance to Budget but, if possible, to achieve a small surplus, which should be utilised for the repayment of any future loan that might have to be raised for such important schemes. Government have also been repeatedly urging that the finances should be set on a satisfactory basis and that with a view to enable it to meet its heavy commitments on account of ^{loans} ~~loans~~, water supply charges etc., the Municipal Council should seriously consider the question of augmenting ~~the~~ its resources and curtailing its expenditure wherever possible, and the Council have also been fully alive to this need for improving the financial condition of the Municipality.

* Statement of normal income and obligatory expenditure

<u>Normal Income</u>		<u>Obligatory Expenditure</u>	
A	Rates & Taxes 4,46,000	A	General Administration 59,500
B	Pounds 2,500	B	Public Safety 50,400
C	Receipts from Market etc 1,30,000	C	- --
D	Grants, contributions 37,000	D	Public Works 1,33,000
E	Miscellaneous (Road cess etc) 5,500	E	Public Health, Water Supply 3,33,000
		F	Education (Public Instruction) 25,000
		G	Contributions 650
		H	Miscellaneous (Interest on loans and Law charges, Milk Centres etc) 34,000
		I	Loans (Re-payment of instalments) 53,400
Total Rs 6,21,000		Total Rs 6,88,950	
Receipts Rs 6,21,000			
Payments " 6,88,950			
Difference		67,950 or Rs 68,000	

Although it may not be accepted that no retrenchment of expenditure is possible, it will perhaps be admitted that no substantial cuts are practicable without impairing the efficiency of essential services. The Retrenchment Committee of the Council have not formulated any proposals. The City is growing in area and importance and there is need for enhanced grants for improvement of many necessities and amenities. While every endeavour will continue to be made to retrench and to economise wherever possible and to stop leakages in revenue wherever found to exist, the time has come when for the rehabilitation of our financial position (and the restoration of our credit) recourse to additional taxation can no longer be delayed.

In this connection, reference is invited to the note dated 8-6-1934 of Mr Kesavaiah, placing before the Council, proposals for improving the financial position. One of the proposals, as Councillors are aware, was to reconsider the question of ^{levying} ~~levying~~ Octroi on 21 additional articles, which proposal was unfortunately not accepted by the Council previously. The following proposals for improving our revenue are placed for favour of acceptance.

<u>Item</u>		<u>Estimated revenue</u>
i) Enhancing the rent of shops of		
Devaraj Market facing Nanyaji Rao Road		2,000
ii) Increasing toll on double bullock carts		
from 3 to 4 annas each (about 20,000		
moffusil carts come into the City		
every month)		15,000
iii) Levy of Octroi on house gram at one		
anna per palla (Average 1,00,000 pallas)		6,000
iv) Levy of Octroi on the articles noted below:-		
1 Musical instruments	3,000	✓
2 Toys country & imported	1,000	✓
3 Soaps	21,000	
4 Confectionery	3,500	✓
5 Glass-ware	1,700	✓
6 Oilman stores	3,400	✓
7 Electrical goods	900	✓
8 Colour & metal polish	4,000	✓
9 Metal fancyware	2,300	✓
10 Tiles	1,300	✓
11 Cement	1,000	
12 Coffee	1,600	
13 Tea	1,700	
14 Iron building materials such		
as girders	16,800	✓
15 Cudapah slabs	500	✓
16 Hosiery	2,000	✓
17 Gunny bags	500	✓
Total	66,200	

- v) Enhancement of flat rate by 25%
 (The revenue derived from this to
 be earmarked entirely for
 expenditure on fixing meters,
 and improvements of water supply)

	-----	9,000
Total		<u>98,200</u>

W. D. ...
 President

P/R 4

Sh

Proceedings of the 81 (Adjourned) meeting of the Mysore
City Municipal Council held in the Council Chamber on
Saturday the 10th August 1935 at 4 P M

Present

M A Sreenivasan, Esq, B A, President

- 1 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy, Esq,
- 2 P Sitaramiah, Esq, B A, B L,
- 3 C Narasimhaiah, Esq, B A, B L,
- 4 S Krishnaswamy Rao, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 5 A Venkatesiah, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 6 A Krishna Rao, Esq, B A, B L,
- 7 V Lingappaiah, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 8 M C Thammiah, Esq,
- 9 A R Srikanth Sharma, Esq,
- 10 D B Viswambhariah, Esq,
- 11 U L Ramachandra Rao, Esq,
- 12 H C Desai, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 13 T Mariyappa, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 14 M Sharadaiah, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 15 Mohamad Anwar Peer, Esq,
- 16 K Phanakoti Chetty, Esq,
- 17 A J Ram, Esq,
- 18 Hussain Ali, Esq,
- 19 Rev G W Sawday,
- 20 G Ahmad Khan, Esq,
- 21 B L Maridandiah, Esq,

----- nt

- 1 Mohamed Saib, Esq, Vice-President
- 2 M A Krishna Rao, Esq,
- 3 K V Venkatakrishnaiah, Esq, B A,
- 4 W H Theobald, Esq,
- 5 Y Virupakrishnaiah, Esq,
- 6 V P Arumugam, Esq,
- 7 Mohamed Vaidyanathan, Esq, B A, LL B,
- 8 M K Madhava Rao Pavar, Esq,

Proceedings of the adjourned meeting of the Council held
on 10-8-1935 at 4 P.M. for consideration of the Budget
Estimates

Subject No 64

Consideration of the measures suggested in the President's
note on the rehabilitation of the financial position of
Municipality

After the Budget Estimates were considered and passed
with modifications, the net deficit was computed to be
about Rs 35,000/- and to make up this deficit and also to
provide for the statutory minimum balance of Rs 20,000/-,
it was suggested that the proposals of the President placed
before the Council be considered.

The President allowed opportunity to such of the members
as desired to speak generally on the proposals, before
getting down to the details.

While speaking on the subject some members observed ^{that}
by the proposed taxation on additional items of imports, ^{the}
trade of the local merchants would be hit hard. It was
therefore suggested that it was not opportune to resort
even to indirect taxation. It was proposed instead that
a tax on visitors coming to Mysore, during the Birthday
and the Dasara Festivities be levied.

Some members speaking in favour of the indirect tax
proposed by the President said that these were the kind
of taxes that caused the ~~maximum~~ least hardship or
inconveniences and they also pointed out that, when the basic
necessities of life, such as butter, ghee, oil, etc. had
already been taxed for years it was unreasonable to oblige
to the taxing of articles of luxury such as musical goods,
toys, confectionaries etc., which had now been proposed,
that these taxes would not touch the poor. Some alternative
proposals in lieu of the President's proposals were also
suggested for consideration.

~~suggested for consideration~~

It was then resolved that the proposals put forward in the President's note be first considered in the order in which they were mentioned therein and the other proposals from the members be taken up after

1) enhancing the rent of the shops of the Devaraja Market facing Sayyaji Rao Road -

It was unanimously resolved that the words 'facing Sayyaji Rao Road' be deleted and that the rent of shops on all the sides of Devaraja Market be enhanced as suggested, whenever possible

2) It was also resolved unanimously that the tolls on double bullock carts be increased from annas 3 to annas 4 each as suggested

3) It was also resolved that Octroi on horse gram be levied at one anna per palla - 10 voting for and 9 against as follows -

10 For		9 Against	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	A Krishna Rao	1	H C Dasappa
2	C Narasimhiah	2	T Mariappa
3	V Lingappiah	3	M Shan ariah
4	S Krishnaswami Rao	4	D B Viswambharai h
5	Rev G W Sawday	5	Mohamed Ghouse Peer
6	Rao Sahib M Naraswamy	6	A J Ram
7	A Venkatesian	7	B L Maridandiah
8	A R Srikant Sharma	8	U L Ramachandira
9	Hussain Ali	9	G Ahamed Khan
10	K Dhanakotietty		

1) It was resolved that the levy of Octroi at 0-0-3 pice per rupee on musical goods, be approved - 10 voting for and 4 against -

14 For		4 Against	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	A Krishna Rao	1	H C Dasappa
2	C Narasimhiah	2	T Mariappa
3	P Sitharamiah	3	M Shankariah
4	A Venkatesian	4	G Ahamed Khan
5	V Lingappiah		
6	D B Viswambhariah		
7	M C Thamiah		
8	K Dhanakotietty		
9	A R Srikant Sharma		
10	Hussain Ali		
11	B L Maridandiah		
12	A J Ram		
13	Rev G W Sawday		
14	Mohamed Ghouse Peer		

2) It was resolved that Octroi at 0-0-3 pice per rupee on toys, country and imported

15 For		4 Against	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	A Krishna	1	H C Dasappa
2	C Narasimh	2	T Mariappa
3	P Sitharamiah	3	Mohamed Ghouse Peer
4	V Lingappiah	4	A J Ram
5	S Krishna Rao		
6	D B Viswambhariah		
7	M C Thamiah		

- 8 Rev G W Sawday
- 9 Mohamed Khan
- 10 K Dhanakoti Chetty
- 11 Hussain Ali
- 12 B L Maridandiah
- 13 A R Srikanta Sharma
- 14 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy
- 15 A Venkatesiah

3) With regard to the levy of Octroi on soaps, and amendment was moved that washing soaps be exempted from the levy but the amendment was lost

Another amendment was moved that the levy be restricted to soaps not manufactured in the Mysore State. The amendment was put to vote and carried - 11 voting for and 8 against

11 For	8 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 C Narasimhaiah	1 A Krishna Rao
2 V Lingappiah	2 P Sitaramiah
3 H C Dasappa	3 S Krishnaswamy Rao
4 Mohamed Ghouse Peer	4 D B Viswambhariah
5 K Dhanakoti Chetty	5 M C Thammiah
6 B L Maridandiah	6 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy
7 A Venkatesiah	7 A R Srikanta Sharma
8 Hussain Ali	8 Rev G W Sawday
9 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	
10 U L Ramachandra	
11 T Mariappa	

It was therefore resolved that an Octroi duty of 0-0-3 pies per rupee ad valorem be levied on all soaps not manufactured in the Mysore State

4) Confectionery -

The levy of Octroi at 0-0-3 pies per rupee ad valorem on confectionery was approved - 13 voting for and 4 against

13 For	4 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 A Krishna Rao	1 H C Dasappa
2 C Narasimhaiah	2 T Mariappa
3 P Sitaramiah	3 M Shankariah
4 A Venkatesiah	4 Mohamed Ghouse Peer
5 S Krishnaswamy Rao	
6 B L Maridandiah	
7 M C Thammiah	
8 D B Viswambhariah	
9 Rev G W Sawday	
10 A R Srikanta Sharma	
11 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	
12 Hussain Ali	
13 V Lingappiah	

5) Glass ware -

It was resolved to levy Octroi on glass ware at 0-0-3 pies per rupee ad valorem - 12 voting for and 4 against

12 For	4 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 P Sitaramiah	1 H C Dasappa
2 A Krishna Rao	2 T Mariappa
3 C Narasimhiah	3 M Shankariah
4 V Lingappiah	4 Mohamed Ghouse Peer
5 S Krishnaswamy Rao	
6 B L Maridandiah	
7 Rev G W Sawday	
8 A R Srikanta Sharma	
9 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	
10 D B Viswambhariah	
11 M C Tharmiah	
12 K Dhanakoti Chetty	
~~13~~	

6) Oilman Stores -

The proposal to levy Octroi on oilman stores at 0-0-3 pice per rupee ad valorem was passed - 17 voting for and 2 against

17 For	2 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 Krishna Rao	1 T Mariappa
2 H C Dasappa	2 M Shankariah
3 B L Maridandiah	
4 U L Ramachandra Rao	
5 A J Ram	
6 Mohamed Ghouse Peer	
7 C Narasimhiah	
8 P Sitaramiah	
9 V Lingappiah	
10 S Krishnaswamy Rao	
11 Rev G W Sawday	
12 K Dhanakoti Chetty	
13 D B Viswambhariah	
14 A R Srikanta Sharma	
15 Venkatesian	
16 M C Tharmiah	
17 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	

7) Electrical goods -

The levy of Octroi on electrical goods at 0-0-3 pice per rupee ad valorem was approved - 14 voting for and 2 against

14 For	2 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 H C Dasappa	1 T Mariappa
2 B L Maridandiah	2 M Shankariah
3 Mohamed Ghouse	
4 K Dhanakoti Ch	
5 A J Ram	
6 A Krishna Rao	
7 C Narasimhiah	
8 V Lingappiah	
9 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	
10 D B Viswambhariah	
11 M C Tharmiah	
12 S Krishnaswamy	
13 Rev G W Sawday	
14 P Siteramiah	

8) Colour and Metal Polish -

Resolved that the levy of Octroi on colour and metal polish articles at 0-0-3 pice per rupee ad valorem be approved - 14 voting for and 3 against

<u>14 For</u>		<u>3 Against</u>	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	A Krishna Rao	1	H C Dasappa
2	C Narasimhiah	2	T Mariappa
3	P Sitaramiah	3	M Shankariah
4	V Lingappiah		
5	B L Maridandiah		
6	K Shanakoti Ch		
7	S Krishnaswamy Rao		
8	A Venkatesiah		
9	D B Viswambhariah		
10	M C Thammiah		
11	Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy		
12	U L Ramachandra Rao		
13	Rev G Sawday		
14	President		

9) Tiles -

With regard to this an amendment was ^{moved} made that country pot tiles be exempted from the 1. The amendment was put to vote and carried by - ¹⁴ 14 voting for and 3 against

<u>14 For</u>		<u>5 Against</u>	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	P Sitaramiah	1	H C Dasappa
2	A Krishna Rao	2	T Mariappa
3	D B Viswambhariah	3	G Ahamed Khan
4	M C Thammiah	4	M Shankariah
5	C Narasimhiah	5	U L Ramachandra Rao
6	A Venkatesiah		
7	V Lingappiah		
8	Rao Sahib M Ram		
9	Rev G Sawday		
10	Hussain Ali		
11	K Shanakoti Ch		
12	A J Ram		
13	S Krishnaswamy Rao		
14	B L Maridandiah		

It was accordingly resolved that Octroi at 0-0-3 p per rupee ad valorem be levied on all tiles except country pot tiles

10) Cement:-

Resolved that Octroi on cement at 0-0-3 pies per rupee value ad valorem be levied - 12 voting for and 6 against

<u>12 For</u>		<u>6 Against</u>	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	A Krishna Rao	1	H C Dasappa
2	C Narasimhiah	2	T Mariappa
3	S Krishnaswamy Rao	3	M Shankariah
4	A Venkatesiah	4	G Ahamed Khan
5	D B Viswambhariah	5	Mohamed Ghouse P. r
6	M C Thammiah	6	U L Ramachandra Rao
7	Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy		
8	V Lingappiah		
9	K Shanakoti Ch		
10	B L Maridandiah		
11	Rev G W Sawday		
12	President		

11) Coffee:-

Resolved that Octroi on coffee at 0-0-3 pies per rupee value ad valorem be levied - 13 voting for and

o against

13 For		6 Against	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	A Krishna Rao	1	H C Dasappa
2	C Narasimhan	2	T Mariappa
3	S Krishnaswamy Rao	3	U L Ramachandra Rao
4	B L Maridandiah	4	P Sitaramiah
5	G Ahmed Khan	5	M Shankariah
6	Mohamed Ghouse Peer	6	A J Ram
7	D B Viswambhariah		
8	M C Thammiah		
9	Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy		
10	V Lingappiah		
11	A Venkatesiah		
12	A R Srikanta Sharma		
13	President		

12) fees:

Resolved that Octroi on fee at 0-0-3 pies per rupee value ad valorem be levied - 14 voting for and 5 against

14 For		5 Against	
Messrs		Messrs	
1	R Sreekanta - rma	1	P Sitaramiah
2	Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	2	M Shankariah
3	V Lingappiah	3	Mohamed Ghouse Peer
4	S Krishnaswamy Rao	4	T Mariappa
5	A Venkatesiah	5	U L Ramachandra Rao
6	D B Viswambhariah		
7	C Narasimhan		
8	B L Maridandiah		
9	A J Ram		
10	Rev G W Sanday		
11	A Krishna Rao		
12	M C Thammiah		
13	G Ahmed Khan		
14	President		

13) Iron building materials:-

And - It was suggested by Rev G W Sanday that the word "steel" may be added after iron and the suggestion was accepted

Some further amendments were proposed re the levy of Octroi at 0-0-3 pies per rupee ad valorem on Iron and Steel building materials:-

1) The first amendment was as follows -

Resolved that Octroi be levied on "Iron and Steel girders, Joists, Pipes, pipes, Trusses, Angle iron, round and flat bars, galvanised iron sheets, screws, bolts, nuts, hinges and expanded metal"

(2) The second amendment - ran as follows -

Resolved that Octroi be levied at 0-0-3 pies per rupee ad valorem on the following iron and steel materials only viz , Girders, Joists, Angle iron, Trusses and pipes

The two amendments were put to vote in their order - the former was lost and latter was carried Hence it was resolved that Octroi be levied at 0-0-3 pies per rupee value ad valorem on iron and steel girders, Joists, Angle irons, Trusses and Pipe - 17 voting for and 2 against

17 For	2 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 H C Dasappa	1 T Mariappa
2 Hussain Ali	2 M Shankariah
3 A J Ram	
4 U L Ramachandra Rao	
5 A Krishna Rao	
6 I Dhanakoti Chetty	
7 C Narasimhai	
8 V Lingappaiah	
9 P Sitaramiah	
10 Venkatesiah	
11 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	
12 D B Viswambhariah	
13 M C Thammiah	
14 Rev G W Sawday	
15 B L Maridandiah	
16 S Krishnaswamy Rao	
17 A R Srikanta Sharma	

13) Cuddapah Slabs

Resolved that Octroi at 0-0-3 ples per rupee be levied on Cuddapah slabs - 11 voting for and 8 against

11 For	8 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 P Sitaramiah	1 H C Dasappa
2 A Krishna Rao	2 Mohamed Ghouse Per
3 C Narasimhai	3 U L Ramachandra Rao
4 S Krishnaswamy Rao	4 G Ahamed Khan
5 M C Thammiah	5 T Mariappa
6 D B Viswambhariah	6 A J Ram
7 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	7 V Lingappaiah
8 B L Maridandiah	8 M Shankariah
9 A R Sreekant Sharma	
10 I Dhanakoti Chetty	
11 President	

1) Resolved that levy of Octroi on Hosiery articles at 0-3 ples per rupee and ad valorem be approved - 12 voting for and 6 against -

12 For	6 Against
Messrs	Messrs
1 P Sitaramiah	1 H C Dasappa
2 A Krishna Rao	2 T Mariappa
3 Rev G W Sawday	3 M Shankariah
4 D B Viswambhariah	4 U L Ramachandra Rao
5 M C Thammiah	5 G Ahamed Khan
6 I Venkatesiah	6 Mohamed Ghouse Per
7 C Narasimhai	
8 V Lingappaiah	
9 B L Maridandiah	
10 Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy	
11 Hussain Ali	
12 K Dhanakoti Chetty	

15) The proposal to levy Octroi on gunny bags at 0-0-3 ples per rupee value ad valorem was lost

The proposal to enhance the water flat-rate by 25% was also after considerable discussion ~~lost~~

The other proposed taxation proposals suggested by members were taken up for consideration


16) It was proposed that Octroi duty be levied on electric power - imported into the City, by the Electric

the list of all in Seringapatam and Mangud

The proposal was however put to vote and 17s - 6
voting for and 10 against -

6 For	10 Against
Lessrs	Lessrs
1 H C Dasappa	1 P Sitaramiah
2 T Marij	2 A Frishna Rao
3 M Shank h	3 C Narasimhiah
4 G Ahame dan	4 S Krishnaswamy Rao
5 U L Ramachandra Rao	5 D B Viswambhariah
6 Mohamed Ali use Peer	6 M C Thammiah
	7 Rao Sahib M Raraswamy
	8 A R Srikanta Sharma
	9 Rev G W Sawday
	10 President

PVR 80


President

92

Mr. A. M.

2296-2330

2296 2330
L

MYSORE
17-11-1935

SIR

I have herewith sent the Resolutions passed unanimously at a Public Meeting of the Citizens of Mysore assembled on 17 11 35 at the Opera House

CHIEF SECRETARY

19 NOV 1935

for 20/11

Yours faithfully

H. S. N. R. N.
Advent

- (1) Resolved that the Citizens of Mysore assembled at a Public Meeting on 17-11-35 do emphatically protest at the proposed levy of Octroi Duty on eighteen commodities as such a levy will not only ruin the commercial prosperity of the City but will considerably affect the already overtaxed rate payer of Mysore
- (2) That the representatives of the taxpayers who are members of the Council do stand by the Citizens at this critical time by vetoing the above proposal
- (3) That copies of the above Resolutions be sent to the Chief Secretary to Government the President and Members of the Municipal Council

The portions of the Municipal Council may be corrected

22/11

2330
2

LEVY OF OCTROI
DUTY

MYSORE CITIZENS'
PROTEST

(FROM OUR CORRESPONDENT)

MYSORE Nov 18

A public meeting of the citizens of Mysore was held last evening in the premises of the Royal Opera House to protest against the proposed levy of Octroi duty on certain commodities by the local Municipal Council.

Mr H Subba Rao Advocate presided. Addressing the meeting was present.

The Chairman explained the object of the meeting and said that the Municipality had proposed to levy duties on certain articles with a view to wiping out the deficit. The merchants would thereby be subject to much hardship. He referred to the incident that happened at Bombay recently and explained how the Government was overthrown from Bombay to Punjab to the detriment of Bombay trade. He observed that such difficulties arose because local bodies did not have full self government.

Mr M Sankappa Municipal Councillor explained the cumulative duties under which the Municipality had proposed the tax.

Mr S Ranganatha Advocate polemic but the difficulty that must be understood is that the merchants are not getting their share. He made an appeal to the Municipal Council to safeguard the interests of the taxpayers and the city.

Mr P N Sankappa merchant the plan of the meeting the local merchant would be benefited if the proposed duty was abolished and the Municipality to maintain the expenditure.

Then Mr S. S. Malladhy G. V. R. B. K. Madhava Rao and Mr S. Chennappa spoke supporting the views of the previous speakers.

Resolution was passed emphatically protesting against the proposed levy of Octroi duty as a heavy burden on the community. It would not only ruin the commerce of the city but also would considerably affect the already overtaxed ratepayers of Mysore. The Municipal Council to stand by the citizens in this time and vote the proposed forwarding of the resolution to the Chief Secretary Government the President and members of the Municipal Council. The meeting terminated with a vote of thanks to the chairman.

LEGG

For ~~the~~ perusal

Assistant Secretary,
L S G Branch
with compliments

WJ
21/11/35
A copy of the resolution
has been forwarded
21/11

21/11

1829
11/25/35

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Order

1935-

No 895
Name of Petitioner The merchants of
Mysore
Village Mysore
Taluk Mysore
District Mysore
Date of Petition
Date of Receipt 23 10 35
No of Enclosures One

ABSTRACT

Chief Secretary
with Compliments
Boo

C. S. S. S.

PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

15 OCT 1935

This is a copy of the petition presented to
the Government of Mysore by the
Mysore Merchants Association, has been sent
to the Government

Submitted for information to the

for the President's reference
30/10/35

1 NOV 1935

Complain that the proposal
newly made by the
Municipal Council
Mysore in regard
to new levy of octroi
on commodities
sold in Mysore
city would result
in regarding the
local trade in
Mysore & therefore
request that the
proposal may
be cancelled

Submitted

23/10

23/10

ಕೂಡಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಯವರೇ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಗೆ ಕಾರಾಭೂತರಾಗ ಬೀಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ

ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ವತ್ಸ್ಯವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಗೆ ಸುಂಕ ಹಾಕುವುದೆಂದರೆ ಪರಸ್ಪರಗಳಿಂದ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ನಟಿಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಬಿಕರಿಯಾಗುವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದರಿಂದ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಗಾರರಿಗಾಗಲೀ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಕ್ಕಾಗಲೀ ಯಾವ ತೊಂದರೆಯ ಸುಂಟಾಗುವದಿಲ್ಲ

ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯಿಂದ ಹೊರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದರಿಂದ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರವೂ ಸಂತುಖೋಗಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಗಾರರಿಗಲ್ಲಾ ನಷ್ಟಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಾರಣವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ

1 ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸವಾರ ಹದಿನಾರು ಅಕ್ಕಿ ತಯಾರಾಡುವ ಮಿಲ್ಲುಗಳಿರುತ್ತವೆ ಈ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮಿಲ್ಲುಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಸುಮಾರು ದಿನವದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದೂರೇಸಾವಿರ ಖಂಡಿ ಬತ್ತ ಮಿಲ್ಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಹಾಲಿ ಬಣತಿ ಖಂಡಿ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಿಲ್ಲುವಾರ್ಷಿ ಆರಾಣೆ ಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಹತ್ತಾಣೆವರಿಗೂ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ ಇದ್ದದೆ ಒಂದು ಖಂಡಿ ಭತ್ತ ಮಿಲ್ಲಾದರೆ ಸುಮಾರು ಐವಾಣೆ ಒಂದು ತೌಡೂ ಒಂದಾಣೆ ಬೆಲೆಯ ಹೊಟ್ಟಿ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಒಂದುಖಂಡಿ ಭತ್ತದಿಂದ ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರಿಗೆ ಹನ್ನೆರಡಾಣೆ ಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಒಂದು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವರಿಗೂ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಈ ಮೈಕಿ ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರಿಗೆ ಪೌರು ವಾರ್ಷಿಗಾಗಿ ನಾಲ್ಕಾಣೆಯು ಸಾಬಿಲ್ಯಾರು ಮರ್ಚಿಗಾಗಿ ನಾಲ್ಕಾಣೆಯೂ ಒವಾ ಎಂಟಾಣೆ ಮರ್ಚಿಗಾಗಿ ಆರಾಣೆ ಮಿಲ್ಲುವಾರ್ಷಿ ಇಟಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ನಾಲ್ಕಾಣೆಯು ಉಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆ ಮಿಲ್ಲುಘರ್ಜಾ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ಹಳ್ಳಾಗಿಯೇ ಉಳಿಯುತ್ತದೆ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಈ ಮಿಲ್ಲಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಭತ್ತದಮೇಲೆ ಖಂಡಿ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದಾಣೆ ಸುಂಕ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಮಿಲ್ ಘರ್ಜಾನ್ನು ಸರಿಸಡಿಸಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು ಅಥವಾ ಅದರ ಸಪಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದಾಣೆಯನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಡಬಹುದು

2 ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲಿ ಮೂರು ಎಣ್ಣೆ ಮಿಲ್ಲುಗಳಿರುತ್ತವೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ದಿನವದಕ್ಕೆ 700 800 ಸುಣ್ಣು ತಯಾರಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ ಪ್ರತಿಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರೂ ತಮ್ಮ ಬಿಕರಿ ರೇಟಿನ ವೇಲೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಣಕ್ಕೂ ಮೂರು ದರ್ಜೆ ಎಂಬದಾಗಿ ಒಂದಾಣೆಯನ್ನು ವಸೂಲ್ವಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಯವರ ತಮ್ಮ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಮುಗ್ಗಟ್ಟಿಗಾಗಿ ಈ ಒಂದಾಣೆಯನ್ನು ಎವರಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರೂ ಸಹ ಸುಧಾರಿಸಿದೆಯಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಡಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಎವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ತೊಂದರೆಯೂ ಸುಂಟಾಗಲಾರದು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಎಣ್ಣೆ ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗೆ ಸುಂಕ ಒದ್ದಿದೆ ಪುನಃ ಅದರಿಂದ ತಯಾರಾಗುವ ಎಣ್ಣೆಗೂ ಸುಂಕ ಹಾಕುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯವೆಂದು ವಂಬದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುವುದು ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳುವ ಕಾರಣವಿಲ್ಲ ಹಾಗೆಂದರೆ ಹಾಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಎರತಕ್ಕ ಎಣ್ಣೆ ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗಿಲ್ಲಾ ಎದನು ತರತ ರೈತರುಗಳೇ ಸುಂಕ ಆರಿಸಿ ಬಹಾರು ರೇಟಿನಂತೆ ವಿಕರಿಸಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರ ಇದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ

3 ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯ ರೈಸ್ ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರ ಅನುಕೂಲತೆಗಾಗಿ ಪರಸ್ಪರಗಳಿಂದ ನಟಿಗೆ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಅಕ್ಕಿಗೆ ಪಲ್ಲಾ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡಾಣೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು ವದಿಸಬಹುದಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ

4 ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 150 200 ಒಸ ರಾಯರುಗಳಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಅವರ ಪ್ರೊಫೆಷನಿಂದ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯೂ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಈ ನಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಕೇವಲ ಅನ್ಯವಾದ ಬೀಡಿ ಸಗರೇಟ್ ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳ ಇದ್ದಲ ಸೌದೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳ ಸ್ಲಾಸ್ಣಾ ಹೋಟಲುಗಳೂ ಕೂಡ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಯಿಂದ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬ ಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಇಂದಾದ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ನಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಂಗಳು ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ 100 00 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ವರಮಾನ ಒರತಕ್ಕ ಲಾಯರುಗಳಿಂದ ಎನೂ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುತ್ತೆ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಅಭಿಮಾನಪಾಲಿಗಳಾದ ಲಾಯರುಗಳು ಇಂದಾ ಮುಗ್ಗಟ್ಟಿನ ಕೂಡಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಾಲಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಸರಾಕರಿಸಾರರೆಂದು ದೃಢವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಬಹುದು

5 ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ದಸರಾ ಮತ್ತು ವರ್ಧಂತಿ ಕಾಲಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಸ್ಪರಗಳಿಂದ ಎರತಕ್ಕ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ರೈಲೆ ಟಿಕೆಟಿನ ವೇಲೆ ಪ್ರತಿಟಿಕೆಟಿಗೂ ಒಂದಾಣೆ ಮೇಲೆ ವಸೂಲ್ವಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದರಿಂದ ಎವರಿಗೂ ತೊಂದರೆಸುಂಟಾಗಲಾರದು ಈ ಪಡ್ಕಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಡವರಿಗೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೂಸದಾದ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದರಿಂದ ಉಂಟಾಗಬಹುದಾದ ಆದಾಯ ವನ್ನು ನಮೂದಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಾಲ್ಮೋಚಿಸಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ನಟಿಯ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಉಂಟಾಗುವುದಾದ ಹೂಸ ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ಸರಾಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಿದೆ

ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್

ಒತ್ತಡ ಎಲ್ಲಗಳಿಂದ ದಿನ ಬಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಎಲ್ಲಗಳಿಂದೂ
ಮುಲ್ಲಾಗುವ 1 500 ಎಂಡಿ ಒತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂಡಿ ಬಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಾಣೆ
ಮೇರೆ ಹಾಕುವದಿಂದ ಒಂದು ವರ ಪಕ್ಕೆ ಒಬಬುದಾದ
ಮೆಬುಗು

ರೂ 33 750—0—0

ಎಣ್ಣೆ ಎಲ್ಲಗಳಿಂದ ದಿನವಂದಕ್ಕೆ ತಯಾರಾಗ ವೆ 800 ವಣ
ಎಣ್ಣೆಗಳ ವೇಲೆ ಮಣ ಬಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಾಣೆ ಮೇರ ವಸೂಲ್ತಾಡ
ವುದಿಂದ ಬರಬಹ ದಾದ ಒಂದುವರುಷದ ಆದಾಯ

18 000—0—0

ಹೂರಗಿನಿಂದ ಎಟಿಗೆ ತಮದಾಗುವ ಅಕ್ಕಿ ಬಂದವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ 10 000
ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪಲ್ಲ ಬಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡಾಣೆ ಮೇರ ಒರ ವ ಮೊಬಲಗು

1 250—0—0

ತಾಯರ ಗಳಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು 200 ಒನಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ
ಸರಾಸರಿ 15/— ನಂತೆ ಆಗುವ ಮೊಬುಗು

3 000—0—0

ದಸರಾ ಮತ್ತು ವರ್ಧಂತಿ ಕಾಲಗ ಳ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಎಟಿಗೆ ಬರತಕ್ಕ
ಜನಗಳಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ತಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಅಂದಾಬು
ಸುಮಾರು

10 000—0—0

ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊಬುಗು ರೂ 66 000—0—0

MYSORE
4th October 1935

Signed
ನರ್ತಕ ಪ್ರಮುಖರು

LEVY OF OCTROI DUTY

MYSORE RATEPAYERS PROTEST

(FROM OUR CORRESPONDENT)

MYSORE Nov 27
To protest against the levy of Octroi duty by the local municipality a public meeting was held last evening in the Rangachari Memorial Hall with Mr H Subba Rao in the chair.

The Chairman at the outset explained the circumstances that led to the convening of another protest meeting against the levy of the Octroi duty and said that the resolutions passed at the previous meeting held the field but he would give an opportunity to those who held different views to express them at this meeting.

NEED FOR ADDITIONAL TAXATION

Mr V Lingappayya Municipal Councillor speaking next said that while the Municipal Councillors were against any extra taxation it should be borne in mind that no municipality could run without any sort of taxation. The question that was before them was whether the municipal budget was in a sound position or not. For the past four or five years the finances of the municipality were in a bad state. As a result of the unsatisfactory position of the municipal finance huge deficits ranging between forty and sixty thousand rupees had grown and it was the duty of the municipality to reduce the deficits gradually and to restore the financial equilibrium. Moreover all the amenities of city life had to be created as Mysore happened to be the capital of the State. The Government had also thrust upon the Municipal Council the responsibility of expansion of primary education in the city while they were not granting adequate funds for the discharge of their duties. The water supply in the city was not adequate notwithstanding the great cost involved in maintenance of the Jewell Filters. The Government were not in a mood to lessen their burden. In the circumstances the municipality with its limited resources had to meet all expenditure with much difficulty. To overcome this difficulty they should have recourse to retrenchment in expenditure for which no concrete proposal had been put forward though a committee was appointed to go into the question. In the circumstances the only course possible for the municipality was to adopt a fresh method of taxation. Mr Lingappayya concluded by saying that since the municipality had not balanced their budget the Government might interfere in the matter.

Mr S Krishnamurthy another Municipal Councillor spoke in support of the argument of the previous speaker and said that the Chairman's statement that the municipality had been up and down since its failure to stabilize its finances.

Continuing the speaker asked the ratepayers if they were justified in not giving their representative on the Municipal Council freedom of action in an emergency and said that he was in favour of levying the octroi duty.

OPPOSITIONISTS VIEW

Mr Mahomed Sait Vice President of the Municipal Council, opposed the levy of octroi duty and said that they had to take into account the position of the sister municipalities in the State. For example in Bangalore the rate of taxation on an individual was much less than that in Mysore. By the levy of further taxation now proposed the sufferings of the ratepayers would increase. If proper efforts were made by the authorities to collect the arrears of taxes the financial position of the municipality would improve and there would be no need for fresh taxation. If any fresh taxation was found to be inevitable he suggested the levy of professional tax on those who were so far free from it.

Mr T Marappa said that in these days of economic depression the ratepayers would not stand any further taxation.

Mr T Krishna Rao appealed to the Municipal Councillors to oppose fresh taxation.

Mr H C Dasappa said that the representatives of the ratepayers ought to abide by the decision of the citizens.

After Messrs Agaram Rangiah, P N Sangappa, Mallaradhaya and B K Madhava Rao had spoken a resolution affirming the resolution passed at the previous protest meeting was carried.

With cheers to His Highness the Maharaja the meeting terminated.

for favour of reversal
and reference.


Asst Secy
H S G Br
with Compliment
and

UA
5/12/35

10/12

5/12

Let's from the residents of the Mysore
Mysore city only should enhance
since they affect the poor
objection from only levy
formulating the finance



[Signature]

ಖರ್ಚಿಗೆ

ವರಮಾನ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊ
ರುನ್ನಿ ಮ
ರುವುದರಿಂ
ತುಂಬಾ ಸ

ಸುಂಕವನ್ನು
ಮೆಂಬರ್ಗ
ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್
ಯನ್ನು ಡ
ಉಪಯೋ
ಗಗಳಮೇಲೆ
ವರಮಾನ
ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳ
ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ

ಗಳಮೇಲ
ಒಂಥಕವು
ಮುನಿಸಿಪ
ವೀರನ ಕಂ
ಕೆ ಮೂಲ

ಕ ಚಾಮ

ಬಿ ತಮ್ಮ
ಹೆಚ್ ತವ
ವಾಸುದೇ
ನೇ|| ಅಬ್ಬ
ಜೇಕ ಮಹ

ವೇಕದಿ
ವರಪ
ಗುಂವಂ
ನನ
ಮು||
ವೀಂ
ಯಂ
ಜಿಂ

ಅಬ್ಬಲಿ
Syed Ra
Timbe

ಪದವೀಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ

Modaliyar

M N Rangaswami
N R Venkatasubrah
T Raghavam Pillai
ಯಂ|| ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವ

ಯಂ ರಾಮಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪದೇವ
ಅಕ್ಕಿಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪದೇವ
ಅಕ್ಕಿಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪದೇವ
ಅಕ್ಕಿಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪದೇವ
ಅಕ್ಕಿಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪದೇವ

Rao

ಪಯ್ಯ

ಸಂಗ ರಯ್ಯನಾಯ್ಕ

ಅಮ್ಮ

ಅಮ್ಮ

Exh
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. *LS-906*
me 106-35-2
From

Dated Bangalore *4th* February 1936
5

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Mysore

Sir

With reference to your letter No G T 530, dated 30th August 1935 regarding the proposals for the improvement of the financial position of the Municipality by increased taxation, I am directed to state that though the Municipal Council were requested in para 7 of Government Order No L 3312-15/11 86-35-3, dated 6/7th November 1935 passing orders on the Budget of the Municipality and in para 9 of Government Order No L 4931-6/11 114-35-6, dated 3rd January -- 1936 reviewing the administration report of the Municipality to expedite action on the said proposals, the final proposals of the Municipal Council after considering the objections ~~not~~ received thereto have not been sent to Government

[Signature]

✓ L 5906 of 5-2-36
Jnl 106-35-2

To the President, Cyrene myra

Sir

With ref to your letter no 91530
of 30.8.35 regarding the proposals for the
improvement of the financial position of the
Reg. by increased taxation, I am directed
to state that though the Council were
requested, in para 7 of the L.O. no 23312 15
- 114 35 3 of 6/7 11/35 and in para 9 of
the L.O. no 24921-6 / 114 35-6 of 3 1 36
reverting the Admin report to the Reg, to expedite
the action on the said proposals, ~~to~~ the
final proposals of the Reg after considering
the objections ^{made} and have not been sent to
you yet. As the matter is one of the
greatest urgency and importance, I am to
request you to forward the final taxation proposals
without any further delay.

Passing order on the
Proposed Reg

I have
the
cheques

3/2

Done
4/2/36

GT 1775
21

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dtd 20th February 1936

REV S/
3836/127
217
LSC 11.1.4

M A SREENIVASAN Esq BA
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

24 FEB 1936
August 1936

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No 530 dated 29/30-8-35 and with reference to your letter No L 5906/M1 106-35-2 dated 4/5-2-36 regarding the proposals for the improvement of the financial position of the Municipality by increased taxation, I have the honour to state that the objection petitions received in regard to (1) the enhancement of the rate of toll on laden double bullock carts from annas three to annas four and (2) the levy of Octroi duty at one anna per palla on horsegram and at 3 pies per rupee, ad valorem on certain other articles were considered by the Council, at the adjourned special meeting held on 17th December 1935, with the following result -

The proposal for the enhancement of the rate of toll on laden double bullock carts from annas 3 to annas 4 was lost by a narrow majority, Vide resolution No 208 of the Proceedings of the adjourned special meeting of the Council held on 17-12-35 (Copy enclosed) The proposals for the levy of Octroi duty at one anna per palla on horsegram and the levy of octroi duty on electrical power at 3 pies per rupee, Ad valorem were also lost, vide items 1 and 18 of resolution No 207

The other proposals, viz , for the levy of octroi duty on the following articles of imports at 3 pies per rupee, Ad valorem were carried

- 2 Musical goods
- 3 Toys
- 4 Soaps (not manufactured in Mysore State)
- 5 Confectionery
- 6 Glass ware
- 7 Oilman store
- 8 Electrical goods
- 9 Colour and metal polish
- 10 Tiles (Country pot tiles to be exempted)
- 11 Cement
- 12 Coffee
- 13 Tea

14 Iron building materials such as

- (a) Iron and steel girders,
- (b) " joists
- (c) Angle Irons
- (d) Trusses
- (e) Pipes
- (f) Screws and hinges (screws and hinges costing less^s than 4 annas being exempted)

15 Cuddapah slabs

16 Hosiery

17 Motor & motor accessories

I request that sanction may be kindly accorded to the proposals of the Council

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


President

348)

PVR

Aggorned
Proceedings of the (10th) (Special) meeting of the Mysore
City Municipal Council held in the Council Chamber on
Tuesday the 17th December 1935 at 4 P.M.

Present.

M A Sreenivasan, Esq , B.A., President.

1. Mohamed Sait, Esq., Vice-President.
2. M.K Krishna Rao, Esq.,
3. Rao Sahib M Ramaswamy, Esq.,
4. P.Sitharamiah, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
5. C.Narasimhiah, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
6. S.Krishnaswamy Rao, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
7. A Venkateshiah, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
8. K.N.Venkatakrishniah, Esq., B.A.,
9. A.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
10. V.Lingappiah, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
11. M.O Thammiah, Esq.,
12. D.B.Viswambhariah, Esq.,
13. T Mariyappa, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
14. M.Sankariah, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
15. K.Dhanakoti Chetty, Esq.,
16. A.J Ram, Esq , L M & S ,
17. Y Virupakshiah, Esq ,
18. Hussain Ali, Esq ,
19. Rev G W Sawday
20. M K Madhava Rao Power, Esq.,

Absent

1. H C Dasappa, Esq , B A , LL B ,
2. W H Theobald, Esq ,
3. Mohamed Ghouse Peer, Esq ,
4. G Ahmad Khan, Esq ,
5. Mohamed Valiullah, Esq , B A , LL B ,
6. (Vacant)
7. "
8. "
9. "

(18th)

Proceedings of the/adjourned Special Council meeting
held on Tuesday the 17th December 1935

Subject No. 207 Council's Resolution No 64 dated 10-8-1935
proposing to levy Octroi on additional articles imported
into the City at 0-0-3 pice per ~~rupee~~ Ad Valorem

(2) Consideration of the objections received from certain
merchants and residents in Mysore against the levy (Deferred
subject)

At the outset, the President read the summary of the
objection petitions received in the office from several
merchants and rate-payers and the resolutions passed at
the Citizens' meeting protesting against the levy of duty
etc ,

The House then proceeded to the consideration of
the tax and proposals in the light of these objections:-

In the course of the discussion that followed it
was moved by some members that each item in the list of
articles proposed for tax might be taken up and
considered separately and the proposal was accepted by the
House

Before taking up the items one by one, Mr T Mariyappa
opposed the levy of Octroi duty ~~on~~ ^{on} and said that the
proposal before the House was not a new one. It had been
proposed and rejected by the Council in 1933 in consideration
of the interests of the rate-payers and the citizens, and
that it was unfair now to levy the same kind of tax when the
circumstances had not improved in any manner

Proceeding he observed that the levy was meant
apparently to balance the deficit budget, and said this
could be done by retrenching over all items of unnecessary
expenditure on works which the Government had thrust upon
the Council much against their wishes in the present
unsatisfactory state of the Municipal finances

Mr M Shankarash following the previous speaker
observed that the proposed levy would hit hard the consumers
and the rate-payers, that those Councillors who accorded
support to the proposed levy did a great disservice to the
rate-payers and were not faithful to their constituencies
He also remarked that the Government had added to the
expenditure of the Council by including unnecessary items
of work like ~~earring~~ ^{earring} of Travellers' Bungalow Road, construction
of Octroi Office, erection of Electric Lethal Chamber etc
which did not create conveniences to the rate-payers, but
were only meant to impress outsiders at the cost of the
citizens, and the omission of these items would decrease
the deficit, and as such the proposed levy was unnecessary
and inequitable

Mr Mohamed Sait speaking against the levy associated
himself with the previous two speakers and said that the
~~provision~~ ^{provision} of taxation per head in Mysore City was already
high and any further levy would be higher than that obtaining
in Bangalore and that it would be unfair to the Council to
overburden the citizens without creating the necessary
amenities and conveniences

Continuing Mr Mohamed Sait observed that the proposed
levy would help the merchants to increase their profits
under the cloak of the levy at the cost of the poor rate-
payers and as such it was inequitable. He concluded by
suggesting that the items of works ordered by Government
might be postponed, or the same spread over a number of
years, and vigorous efforts put forth for the collection of
the heavy arrears due to the Municipality

Mr I V. Rupakshia also opposed the levy.

Mr K Dhanakoti Chetty, in support of the proposed tax said that with the exception of a very few items the levy on other items in the list might be sanctioned since the burden would fall not on the poor but on the comparatively well-to-do class who consume the articles proposed to be taxed, and that the printed notices said to have been issued were largely protesting against the levy which was not altogether concocted.

Mr. J. I. Nageswara, S. Krishna Murthy Rao, and P. S. Ramiah said that at the general meeting of the citizens held on objection to the proposed levy of duty, those assembled were not made aware of the objects of the proposed levy by the organisers of the meeting. He effected that the proposed levy was on articles of daily necessity, that it affected the poor people, and the revenue out of the proposed duty was meant to defray the expenses of carrying roads and other costly items forced on Government.

They observed that if the members gathered at the Citizens' meeting had been clearly told that the articles taxed were consumed mostly by people with an income of more than Rs 50/- or Rs 60/- per month and were not daily necessities and that thus the poor people would not be affected thereby, the proposals would certainly have met with the approval of the people.

Mr A. Krishna Rao in favour of the levy of duty replied to the several objections raised by the previous members and said that the proposed levy was not on articles of daily necessity and any tax levied on them would be only borne by the comparatively well-to-do classes of people who could afford to buy them. He said that the proposed levy would not affect the business trade in respect of these articles. He said that such indirect taxation especially of so light a character as these does in the respect would not be felt by any body and that if the Council had not proposed the levy on salt, the budget and rehabilitate their finances Government would have had to stop and impose a tax even against the wishes of the Council. The Councillors who supported the present levy were thus only discharging their official duty realising the responsibility vested in them and keeping the interests of the rate payers at heart, and that therefore it was unfair to the members to say that the Councillors were not faithful to their constituents and it was not correct to say that the levy was inequitable and affected the poor.

Mr M. C. Chinniah said that with the exception of one or two items the remaining items in the list might be passed. After some more members had spoken on the proposals, each item was taken up separately for consideration.

1. FOR-F GRAM Mr I. Chinniah proposed, seconded by Mr K. Dhanakoti Chetty that the levy of tax on Horse Gram be not approved. The Council unanimously accepted the amendment, and the proposal to levy tax on Horse gram was lost.

2. Musical Goods Mr K. Dhanakoti Chetty suggested that it would be desirable clearly to define the term "Musical Goods", for purposes of facilitating the levy of duty. This suggestion was accepted by the President. It was resolved to levy 0.01 on Musical Goods at 3 paise per rupee. A Valorem motion was voted for and 5 against.

For
Messrs
C Narasimhaiah
A Krishna Rao
P S Sitarumiah
M C Thammiah
D B Viswamohariar
A Venkatesiah
S Krishnaiah
S Krishnaiah
A Venkaiah
M Paraswamy
K Marako Chetty
K Krishna Rao
B I Mahav Rao Pooar
President

Against
Messrs
Mohamed Sait
T Mariyappa
M Shankariah
A J Ram
Y Virupaksiah

3 TOYS Two amendments were moved (1) to exempt the Toys manufactured in India and (2) the Toys manufactured in Mysore. Both these amendments were put to vote and lost and the original proposal to levy Octroi duty on Toys imported in to the City at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem was put to vote and carried-- 13 voting for and 0 against

For
Messrs
C Narasimhaiah
A Krishna Rao
P Sitarumiah
M C Thammiah
D B Viswamohariar
A Venkatesiah
S Krishnaiah
S Krishnaiah
A Venkaiah
M Paraswamy
K Marako Chetty
K Krishna Rao
B I Mahav Rao Pooar
President

Against
Messrs
Mohamed Sait
T Mariyappa
M Shankariah
A J Ram
Y Virupaksiah
Russai Ali

4 SCAPES The resolution to levy Octroi duty at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem on scapes not manufactured in Mysore State was put to vote and carried-- 13 voting for and 5 against

For
Messrs
C Narasimhaiah
A Krishna Rao
P S Sitarumiah
M C Thammiah
D B Viswamohariar
A Venkatesiah
S Krishnaiah
S Krishnaiah
A Venkaiah
M Paraswamy
K Marako Chetty
K Krishna Rao
B I Mahav Rao Pooar
President

Against
Messrs
T Mariyappa
M Shankariah
Mohamed Sait
Y Virupaksiah
A J Ram

5 CONFECTIONERY It was resolved to levy Octroi duty at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem on Confectionery -- 13 voting for and 6 against

For
Messrs
C Narasimhaiah
A Krishna Rao
P Sitarumiah
M C Thammiah

Against
Messrs
T Mariyappa
M Shankariah
Mohamed Sait
Y Virupaksiah

D B Viswambhariah	A J Ram
A Venkatesiah	Hussain Ali
V Lingappiah	
K N Venkatakrishniah	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
M K Krishna Rao	
M Ramaswamy	
K Dhanakoti Chetty	
Rev G W Sawday	

6 GLASS WARE The proposition to levy Octroi duty at 3 pies per rupee Ad Valorem on Glas Ware was passed 13 vot rg for and 6 against

<u>13 For</u>	<u>6 Against</u>
Messrs	Messrs
C Narasimhiah	T Mariyappa
A Krishna Rao	M Shankariah
P Sitharamiah	Mohamed Sait
M C Thammiah	A J Ram
D B Viswambhariah	Y Virupaksiah
V Lingappiah	Hussain Ali
A Venkatesiah	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
K N Venkatakrishniah	
M K Krishna Rao	
M Ramaswamy	
K Dhanakoti Chetty	
Rev G W Sawday	

7 OILMAN STORES Some members proposed that the term "Oilmanstores" be clearly defined for facilitating the imposition of tax. It was then resolved that Octroi duty at 3 pies per rupee Ad Valorem on Oilmanstores (to be defined) be levied -- 13 voting for and 6 against

<u>13 For</u>	<u>6 Against</u>
Messrs	Messrs
C Narasimhiah	T Mariyappa
A Krishna Rao	M Shankariah
M C Thammiah	Mohamed Sait
P Sitaramiah	A J Ram
V Lingappiah	Y Virupaksiah
A Venkatesiah	Hussain Ali
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
M K Krishna Rao	
K N Venkatakrishniah	
M Ramaswamy	
K Dhanakoti Chetty	
D B Viswambhariah	
Rev G W Sawday	

8 ELECTRICAL GOODS It was resolved that Octroi duty on Electrical Goods be levied at 3 pies per rupee Ad Valorem-- 14 voting for and 6 against

<u>14 For</u>	<u>6 Against</u>
Messrs	Messrs
C Narasimhiah	T Mariyappa
A Krishna Rao	M Shankariah
P Sitharamiah	Mohamed Sait
M C Thammiah	Hussain Ali
D B Viswambhariah	Y Virupaksiah
A Venkatesiah	A J Ram
V Lingappiah	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
K N Venkatakrishniah	
M K Krishna Rao	
Rev G W Sawday	

K Dhanakoti Chetty
M K Madhava Rao Powar
M Ramaswamy

9. COLOUR & METAL POLISH It was suggested by some members that the term "Colour & Metal polish" be got clearly defined the proposal having been accepted the resolution to levy tax at 5 pias per rupee Ad Valorem on Colour and Metal Polish (to be defined) was put to vote and carried -- 14 voting for and 4 against

<u>14 For</u>	<u>4 Against</u>
Messrs	Messrs
C Narasimhiah	T Mariyappa
A Krishna Rao	M Shankariah
P Sitharamiah	Y Virupakshiah
M C Thammiah	A J Ram
D B Viswambhariah	
A Venkateshiah	
V Lingappiah	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
K N Venkatakrishniah	
Rev G W Sawday	
K Dhanakoti Chetty	
M Ramaswamy	
M K Madhava Rao Powar	
M K Krishna Rao	

10 TILES (country pot tiles exempted)

Mr Y Virupakshiah opposed to the levy of duty on Tiles on the score that this formed one of the items used for the construction of houses and that poor people would feel it difficult to pay the enhanced rate. The President pointed out that the houses with Mangalore Tiles were built mostly by people who could not be classed as very poor and the country pot tiles were exempted. The proposal to levy duty at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem on tiles (except country pot tiles) was put to vote and carried -- 13 voting for and 4 against

<u>13 For</u>	<u>4 Against</u>
Messrs	Messrs
C Narasimhiah	T Mariayappa
A Krishna Rao	M Shankariah
P Sitharamiah	Y Virupakshiah
M C Thammiah	A J Ram
D B Viswambhariah	
A Venkatesiah	
V Lingappiah	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
K N Venkatakrishniah	
M K Krishna Rao	
M Ramaswamy	
Rev G W Sawday	
The President	

11 CEMENT It was resolved to levy Octroi duty on Cement at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem -- 12 voted for and 4 against

<u>12 For</u>	<u>4 Against</u>
Messrs	Messrs
C Narasimhiah	T. Mariyappa.
A. Krishna Rao	M Shankariah
P. Sitharamiah.	A. J Ram
M C Thammiah	Y Virupakshiah
D B Viswambhariah	
A Venkateshiah	
V Lingappiah	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
K N Venkatakrishniah	

M K Krishnan
M Parasuram
I Dranakoti Chetty

1) COFFEE It was resolved to levy Octroi duty at 2 pias per rupee Ad Valorem of Coffee - 13 voting for and 4 against

<u>Messrs</u>	<u>Against</u>
C Varadarajan	T Mariyappa
A Krishnan Rao	M Shanmugiah
P Saramiah	A J Ram
A Thammiah	V Varaprasad
B Viswanathan	
V Venkatesh	
V Lingappa	
S Krishnaswamy Rao	
P N Venkateshkrishna	
M K Krishna Rao	
I Rameswamy	
K Dranakoti Chetty	
The President	

13 TEA Mr Vargappan in opposing the proposal said that some merchants in the market had expressed their difficulties in regard to his business for Mr. Sona Rao replying to the objection said that the position of trade in regard to tea and coffee was the same, and that the small levy proposed on tea would not affect the business, as it was rather the consumers and not the merchants that would be affected by the levy.

2 The Resolution to levy Octroi duty on tea at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem was put to vote and carried - 10 voting for and 7 against

<u>Messrs</u>	<u>Against</u>
V Narasimhan	T Mariyappa
A Krishna Rao	I Shanmugiah
P Saramiah	A J Ram
A Thammiah	M C Saramiah
V Lingappa	P Chakraborty Chetty
S Krishnaswamy Rao	B Viswanathan
K Krishna Rao	V Venkatesh
P N Venkateshkrishna	
I Rameswamy	
The President	

14 IRON BUILDING MATERIALS Mr K Dranakoti Chetty moved an amendment to the Bill that screws, nuts, hinges and bolts be exempted from the levy of duty, and it was lost for want of seconder

Mr Vargappan proposed another amendment supported by Mr B Viswanathan, to the effect that screws and hinges coming under Iron Building Materials, costing less than four annas be exempted from the levy. This amendment was passed and the original proposal was duly amended and it was resolved that Octroi duty at 3 pias per rupee Ad Valorem on the following Iron Building Materials, namely Iron and Steel Girders, Joists, Angle Irons, Trusses, Pipes and Screws and hinges (the last two costing less than four annas being exempted) be levied -- 10 voted for and 5 against

<u>Messrs</u>	<u>Against</u>
C Narasimhan	T Mariyappa
A Krishna Rao	M Shanmugiah
P Saramiah	A J Ram

Subject No 208 Council's Resolution No 64(2) dated 10th August 1935 proposing to enhance the toll on Double Bullock Carts (1200) from three annas to four annas each

(2) Consideration of the objections received from Messrs Lingiah and other residents of Mysore City and of Arakere Village, Seringapatam Taluq against the proposed increase in the rate of toll.

Mr Lingappa while considering this question opposed to the levy of enhanced rate of annas four per cart on the score that the proposed increase in rate affected the poorer classes.

Mr M C Thammiah seconded the proposal.

The subject on being put to vote was lost-- 6 voting for and 7 against.

For
Messrs
A Arishna Rao
P S Thammiah
M Ramaswamy
M K Krishna Rao
D B Viswanthappa
President

Against
Messrs
V Lingappaiah
M C Thammiah
S Krishnaswamy Rao
A Mariyappa
M Sankariah
Y Virupakshiah
A J Rao


President

FVR 17/1/36

184

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore Dated 26th February 1936

From

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No G " 1775 dated 20th February 1936 requesting sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council to levy octroi duty on certain articles imported into the municipality at 3 pies per rupee ad valorem, I am directed to invite reference to clause (c) of Section 65 of the City Municipalities Regulation and to request that the notice calling for objections and the other records ^{and information} referred to therein may be sent for reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

(For Draft)

for Chief Secretary to Government
Rm

S R 2

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter r phed to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue

26/2/36

Signed by

Mr A S Row

note your reference
to clause
to subsection (c) of sec 65
of the Municipal Regs
and to

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

S. K. 12

Section MR
File No 106-35
Serial No 4

No

26514

To

The President City Municipal Council,
Mysore

Sir, With reference to the correspondence
ending with your letter No & T 1775
dated 20-2-36 requesting sanction to
the resolution of the Municipal Council
to levy octroi duty on certain articles
imported into the Municipality at
3 pice per rupee ad valorem I am
directed to request that the notice
calling for objections and other records
referred to therein
~~as required under section 65 (c) of~~
~~the City Municipal Regulation for~~
may be sent for reference & sanction.

I have etc

Mr
25/2
Chief Secy to Govt
Pm

Appendix F G-1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue 12 - 3 - 36

Signed by M A S

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

No L 6980

To

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference
to this office letter No L 6514/-

MR 106-35-4 dated 26-2-36 calling
for ~~the notice calling for objections etc~~
^{certain papers and information}

in regard to the levy of additional
taxes on the Municipality & to
request ~~that the concerned officials~~
^{in favor of a regular compliance}
~~may kindly be expedited~~

Yours sincerely

M
1936

M N Sreenivasan Esq B A

Present City Municipal Council Officer

9 T 1935-36 48

ml 106-35-3

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE

Dated 12th March 1936
13

er

By Post
Chief Secretary
16 MAR 1936
16/3

M A SREENIVASAN Esq BA
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

REV SEC
4232
16 MAR 1936
48.8

Chief Secretary to

the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 6514/ml 106-35-4 dated 26th February 1936 calling for the notice and other records pertaining to the levy of octroi duty on certain articles imported into the Municipality at 3 pies per rupee ad valorem, I have the honour to forward here-

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 A copy of this Office notice dated 29-8-1935 calling for objections | with a copy of the notice calling for objections along with the objection |
| 2 A statement showing the classification of objections | petitions received in response to the notice, as detailed in the margin The |
| 3 Objection petitions in original (1 to 46) | notice calling for objections has also been published on page 256 part III of the Mysore Gazette, dated 5-9-1935 |

I request the favour of early sanction to the proposals of the Council

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M A Sreenivasan
President

SSR

Published on page 256 of the Mysore Gazette dated 5th September

1935

Notice dated 29th August 1935

Notice is hereby given to the inhabitants of the Mysore City Municipality that the Municipal Council having resolved to impose Octroi on the following additional articles at the rates mentioned against them, it is proposed to amend the schedule of taxes relating to Octroi in force (published as Appendix C on page 117 of the Mysore City Municipal Rules and Bye-laws) as noted hereunder:-

Amendment proposed

Add the following to the existing list of articles on which Octroi is imposed

<u>5 Octroi</u>	<u>Rate at which it is proposed to levy octroi duty</u>
17 Horsegram	per palla Re 0-1-0
18 Musical goods	) At pies three (0-0-3) per rupee ad valorem
19 Toys(country and imported)	
20 Soaps(Manufactured outside the Mysore State)	
21 Confectionery	
22 Glassware	
23 Oilman stores	
24 Electric goods	
25 Colour and metal polish	
26 Tiles	
27 Cement	
28 Coffee	
29 Tea	
30 Iron and steel girders, joists, Angle-iron trusses and pipes.	
31 Cudappa slabs	
32 Hosiery articles	
33 Electric power	
34 Motor and motor accessories	

Any inhabitant of the Municipality objecting to the proposed levy of octroi on the above articles may, within one month from the date of the publication of this notice in the Mysore Gazette, send his ~~objection~~ objections in writing to the Municipal Council

" COPY "

Sd M A Sreenivasan,
President


President

248

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 15th August 1935

No L/1331
Encl 92-35-2

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Development Department

To

the Comptroller to Government
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No ^{L A D} 31/ dated the 1st August 1935 recommending that the system of using stamped receipt books approved in Government Order No L 5920-39/M1 156-32-21 dated 5th February 1934 may be made permanent in the Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities and the Davangere Town Municipal Council I am directed to invite reference to Government Order No L 7141-59/M1 156-32-23 dated 17th April 1934 extending the above system to all the District Headquarter Municipalities in the State and to enquire whether it has not been so extended. If the reply is in the affirmative it may be kindly stated why no reference has been made to the working of the arrangement in these places in the letter under reply.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

T. S. Srinivasan

Ar
In Secretary to Government
Development Department
no

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue 16-8-35

Signed by *[Signature]*

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ml

File No 92-35

Serial No 2

No L1331

To

*The Comptroller to Govt
LW,*

*With refce to your
letter no 34 L102 dated
the 1st August 1935
recommending that the
system of using stamped
receipts be approved
for no L5520-39/ML*

*LB-32-21 dated 5 2 34
may be made permanent in
the rule of Govt of India &
the Government of India
I am directed to
write refce to go to*

7441-59/ ml 116-3223
dated 7 4 1934. extending the

above system to all the dist
Headquarters mltg in the

State and to ensure where the
it has not been ~~done~~^{extended} of the

reply is in the affirmative,
it may be kindly stated why
no refre has been made to
the working of the arrangement
in those places in the letter
under reply.

Church

for
12/8

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore Dated 15th aug 1935
28

No L 1332-3
sub 92-35

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Development Department

To

The President,
District board
(1) Bangalore (2) Mysore

Sir

I am directed to state that the Comptroller to Government has reported that the system of having stamped receipt books for enforcing the issue of stamped receipts for all receipts for amounts in excess of Rs 20/- approved in Government Order No L 5920-39/ul 156-32-21 dated 5th February 1934 has not been adopted by you and to enquire why the order of Government has not been given effect to

A very early reply is requested

I have the honour to be
SIR,
Your most obedient servant

TEB *[Signature]*

[Signature]

Secretary to Government
Development Department

SUBJECT

9

Section

File No

Serial No

No *L 1332-3*

Diary No of letter replied to

To

*The President of the
Dist Board of
Revenue Bhoj*

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue *16-8-35*Signed by *Mr. A. S. O.*

Sir,

I am directed to
state that the Comptroller
to Govt has reported that
the system of ^{having stamped receipts for} enforcing
the issue of stamped
receipts for all receipts
for amounts in excess
of Rs 20/- approved in
G.O. no *L 5920-39/M*
156-32-21 dated *25-2-1934*
has not been adopted

received by Mr. A. S. O.

List of enclosures

Copied by

3 Jan 15/1/35

Examined by


by you ~~concerning~~ the
~~specific instructions of Govt~~
~~concerning them in this behalf.~~

I ^{and} am to enquire why ^{nothing} has
~~have not yet~~ acted up to ^{not been given}
the order of Govt in this behalf ^{express}

A very early reply is requested
I have ch

12/8/87
Secy
for M/D D

Arzuman Khan,
2epul Gokum
Bangladesh



mr 4R

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

ml 92-35-3

1510
L

In reply please quote file no
t No 133/LAD

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE BANGALORE

Dated the 2nd October 1935

35H Venkatanaranappa Esq B A
Secretary to Government of Mysore
Development Department
B a n g a l o r e

Sir

With reference to your letter No L-1331-11 92-35-2 dated 15 8/16th August 1935 in regard to the system of using stamped receipts books approved in Government order No L 5920-39/11 156-32-21 dated 5th February 1934 being made permanent I have the honour to state that the system was adopted by the Hassan and Shimoga Municipalities and the other District Head Quarter Municipalities have not yet done so In the two District Head Quarter Municipalities in which the system has been introduced it has been reported to be working satisfactorily

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

AS. S. S. S.
Comptroller
2/10/35

No L 2871 of 2/25/55

To

The President, D.A.
M., Miami
(Thru the D.C.)

Sir,

I am directed
to write re: to
this office letter no
L 1332-3 / 2/25/55
dated the 12/16 through
L 1335 enclosing is
to copy the order of
but in regard to the
enforcing of the system
of stamping receipts
for amounts received in
excess of \$100. I do to
report that the report
there to may be expected
I have the
Myself, D.A.
and D.C.

Mr. L. K.

2177

Office of the President District Board
Mysore District
Dated Mysore November 1935

No C 264 L B 35-36

From

B S PUTTASWAMY ESQ B A B L
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD
MYSORE

To

M VENKATANARANAPPA, ESQ , B A ,
Development Secretary to Government,
B A N G A L O R E

Through the Deputy Commissioner Mysore Dt

SUBJECT —

With reference to your letter No L 1332-3/M1 92-35 dated 15th/16th August 1935 enquiring why the system of issuing stamped receipts books for all receipts for amounts in excess of Rs 20/- has not been enforced, and subsequent reminder No L 2871/11 92-35 dated 23rd October 1935, I have the honour to state that the District Board is not generally in the habit of receiving amounts in cash. The use of stamped receipts books was not therefore felt. The parties are asked to credit the amount to the treasury and present only treasury chellans. One stamped receipt book may however be arranged to be sent which will be made use of whenever necessary. The cost of the same will be adjusted.

I have the honor to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant

Y S K

Q 7684/35

B S Puttaswamy
P R E S I D E N T

Forwarded with compliments
Misra

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District



R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

System of issuing stamped receipts
by the Local Bodies for amounts in
excess of Rs 20/-

Number No of letter replied to 2177

General and Revenue Secretariat

(ml)
the

193

Section ml

File No 92-35

Serial No 41

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue

7/12

1934

Signed by

MVA S. Rao

May this be—

- (i) printed?
- (ii) treated as a standing order?
- (iii) published in the Gazette
English Part?
- (iv) translated?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press?
- (vi) published in the Gazette
Kannada Part?

Read— G.O. no L 59^x20 39 ml 15632
21 dated 5/2/34 directing that
the system of issuing stamped
receipts for amounts in excess of
Rs 20/- by Local Bodies be tried
as an experimental measure for
one year from 1-3-34 in the two
Municipalities, the Davangere
Municipality and the Bangalore
and Mysore District Boards and
laying down the procedure therefor
2) G.O. no L 7441-59 ml 15632
-23 dated 17/4/34 directing that
the system and procedure
prescribed in the G.O. nos above

12/11/34

be made applicable to all the
District Head quarter municipalities
in the State tentatively for a period
of one year

3) Correspondence ending with
letter no L A D 133 dated 2/10/35

from the Comptroller to the
Govt, stating that the system
referred to in the Govt order above was

adopted in the Hassan Shimoga
Mysore and in the
Davanagere, Bangalore and Mysore
city municipalities and ~~was~~ ^{that it is reported}

to be working satisfactorily and
recommending that the system

be made permanent and extended to all Town Meters
Inst of Enclosure

Order, 4393-4413 27-12-35

and the Comptroller
reports that the Bangalore and
Mysore cities and the District
Head quarter municipalities and
Mysore also
Hassan Shimoga have
not adopted the system

Copied by

Examined by

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

Before the arrangement is extended or made permanent, it is necessary that all the local bodies referred to in the list read above should try it first accordingly direct that the Board of Directors which have not adopted the system should do so immediately so that the whole arrangement may continue on a temporary basis for one year more.

The Comptroller is requested
to submit to Govt. ~~before the end of~~
Dec 1936, a ^{written} report as to the working
of the arrangement before the
end of Dec 1936 with definite
proposals for its continuance & extension.

[illegible]

-satisfactorily in five municipalities
in which it has been adopted.

Gvt - Consider that the
 same ~~system~~ may be tried in
 all the ~~the~~ local Bodies also
 temporary for a 10-year ~~and temporary~~
 The ~~comptroller~~ is requested
 to instruct the ~~the~~ ^{the} Bd
 Bangalore & Mysore and the
 Dist HD another municipalities
 which have not given effect
 to the orders ^{in the matter} to adopt the
~~system immediately and to~~
~~submit a further report~~
 as to the ~~working of the~~
~~arrangement~~ ^{to last} before the end
 of Dec 1936

Wm
30711

Says to lawyer
from developer
to

Alvén
ou el f-misare

Extra

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read —

Government Order No. L 5925-32/21 156-32-21, dated 5th February 1934 directing that the system of issuing stamped receipts for amounts in excess of Rs 20/- by Local Bodies be tried as an experimental measure for one year from 1st March 1934 in the two City Municipalities, the Davangere Municipality and the Bangalore and Mysore District Boards and laying down the procedure therefor

2 Government Order No. L 7441-39/21 156-32-23, dated 17th April 1934 directing that the system and procedure prescribed in the Government Order read above be made applicable to all the District Headquarter Municipalities in the State tentatively for a period of one year

3 Correspondence ending with letter No. LAD 133, dated 2nd October 1933 from the Comptroller to the Government, stating that the system referred to in the Government Orders read above was adopted in the Hassan, Shimoga, Davangere Municipalities and in the Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities and that it is reported to be working satisfactorily there and recommending that the system be made permanent and extended to all Town Municipalities

Order No. L 4523-4413/21 92-33-4

Bangalore, dated the 2/7th December 1935

The Comptroller reports that the Bangalore and Mysore District Boards and the District Headquarter Municipalities other than those at Hassan and Shimoga have not adopted the system. Before the arrangement is extended or made permanent, it is necessary that all the local bodies referred to in the Government orders read above should try it. Government accordingly direct that the District Boards and Municipalities which have not adopted the system should do so immediately and that the whole arrangement may continue on a tentative basis for one year more.

The Comptroller is requested to submit to Government a further report as to the working of the arrangement before the end of December 1936 with definite proposals for its continuance and extension.

Aswathappa
Secretary to Government
Development Department

To

- * The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
- * Revenue Commissioner in Mysore
- * Comptroller to Government
- * Secretaries of District Boards
- * Commissioner of Districts in Mysore
- * Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipal Corporation, City
- * President, Bangalore Municipal Council, Bangalore
- * President, Mysore Municipal Council, Mysore

Extra
19
PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read —

Government Order No. L. 5923-39/ML. 156-32-21, dated 5th February 1934 directing that the system of issuing stamped receipts for amounts in excess of Rs. 10/- by Local Bodies be tried as an experimental measure for the year from 1st March 1934 in the two City Municipalities, the Davangere Municipality and the Bangalore and Mysore District Boards and laying down the procedure therefor

2 Government Order No. L. 7441-59/ML. 156-32-25, dated 17th April 1934 directing that the system and procedure prescribed in the Government Order read above be made applicable to all the District Headquarter Municipalities in the State tentatively for a period of one year

3 Correspondence ending with letter No. LAD 133, dated 2nd October 1935 from the Comptroller to the Government, stating that the system referred to in the Government Orders read above was adopted in the Hassan, Chikmagalur, Davangere Municipalities and in the Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities and that it is reported to be working satisfactorily there and recommending that the system be made permanent and extended to all Town Municipalities

Order No. L. 4393-4413/ML. 92-35-4

Bangalore dated the 2/7th December 1935

The Comptroller reports that the Bangalore and Mysore District Boards and the District Headquarter Municipalities other than those at Hassan and Chikmagalur have not adopted the system before the arrangement is extended or made permanent. It is necessary that all the local bodies referred to in the Government orders read above should try it. Government accordingly direct that the District Boards and Municipalities which have not adopted the system should do so immediately and that the whole arrangement may continue on a tentative basis for the year 1936.

The Comptroller is requested to submit to Government a further report as to the working of the arrangement before the end of December 1936 with definite proposals for its continuance and extension.

Asquith
In Secretary to Government
Development Department

To

- The Deputy Commissioners of the Districts
- The Deputy Commissioner in Mysore
- The Comptroller to Government
- The Presidents of the District Boards
- The Commissioners of Districts in Mysore
- The Municipal Engineer, Bangalore City Municipal Corporation, City
- The Municipal Engineer, Mysore City Municipal Corporation, Mysore